

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,056 07/03/2003		03/2003	Norikazu Arai	28600637-03	4259	
22852	7590 03/20/2006			EXAMINER		
	N, HENDE	RSON, FARABO	CHU, KIM KWOK			
LLP 901 NEW Y	ORK AVEN	IUE, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
· WASHINGT	TON, DC 2	20001-4413	2653			
				DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			/612,056	ARAI ET AL.					
			aminer	Art Unit					
		Kir	n-Kwok CHU	2653					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum street or reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AALING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will app will, by statute, caus	OF THIS COMMUNION IN no event, however, may bly and will expire SIX (6) Me the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on Amendm	ent filed on 7/3/200.	3					
•				⊻.					
′—									
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	closed in accordance with the pract	oo anaor Ex pe	mo quayro, 1000 o	.5. 17, 400 0.0. 210.					
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>137-147</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-136</u> is/a	re withdrawn fro	om consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>137-147</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or ele	ction requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 July 2003</u>	is/are: a)⊠ a	ccepted or b)□ obj	ected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the draw	ing(s) be held in abey	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exami	ner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.				
Priority ι	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions	documents had documents had of the priority do anal Bureau (PC	ve been received. ve been received in locuments have bee CT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No. <u>09/487,9</u> 2en received in this Nationa					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date		Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT	「O-152)				
-	- -		_						

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 137-147 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 3-8, of U.S. Patent No. 6,950,384. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. For example:
- (a) claim 137 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 1 of the '384 patent except that the '384 patent claims additional features such as all the first, second and third light fluxes are parallel light fluxes;
- (b) claim 138 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 5 of the '384 patent;

10/612,056 AU 2653

- (c) claim 139 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 6 of the '384 patent;
- (d) claim 140 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 7 of the '384 patent;
- (e) claim 141 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 8 of the '384 patent;
- (f) claim 142 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 8 of the '384 patent;
- (g) claim 143 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 4 of the '384 patent;
- (h) claim 144 of the instant Applicant is an obvious feature in claim 1 of the '384 patent where correcting the aberration due to thicknesses of the medium can be considered as correcting the aberration due to different wavelengths as in claim 144 because the '384 patent uses there different wavelengths of light beams to achieve reproducing information on the three different thicknesses of the medium respectively;
- (i) claim 145 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 10 of the '384 patent;
- (j) claim 146 of the instant Applicant is claimed in the claim 3 of the '384 patent; and
- (k) claim 147 of the instant Applicant is an obvious feature in claim 1 of the '384 patent because the objective lens of the '384 patent is designed for a DVD and a CD.

3. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communications intended for entry. Or:

(571) 273-7585, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed USPTO Contact Center (703) 308-4357; Electronic Business Center (703) 305-3028.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim CHU whose telephone number is (571) 272-7585 between 9:30 am to 6:00 pm, Monday to Friday.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kim-Kwok CHU

Examiner AU2653 7/15/06

March 15, 2006 (571) 272-7585

ENTER CONTRACTOR STANDS